



How to Use these Techniques Using STATA

Professor Norbert Janz

DEIP Montevideo
2 April 2009

Which Techniques?



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- Cross tabulation
- Simple and multiple linear regression
- Difference-in-difference regression
- Propensity score matching regression



Do firm linkages with universities
positively affect R&D
of manufacturing firms
in Uruguay?

● Firm linkages with universities

- Ask the firms whether they are running co-operation projects with universities or not:

D = 1 if they have co-operations
= 0 if they don't have

● R&D

- Ask the firms how much they spent on R&D

Y = expenditure in 1000 UY peso

Controlling other Factors Influencing R&D



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- **Size:**
Larger firms may be more likely to do R&D since they have more funds, more specialised personnel etc.
- **Sector:**
R&D and R&D costs to differ between sectors
- **Foreign ownership:**
Foreign owned firms may not do R&D because the mother company abroad does
- **Part of conglomerate:**
Firms part of a conglomerate may not do R&D because the mother company does

Controlling other Factors Influencing R&D (2)



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- **Exporting activities:**
Firms may have the need to do R&D to compete on international markets
- **Links to public research institutions:**
Firms may have more (or less) R&D if they cooperate with non-university public research
- **Links to NSI institutions:**
The same again

Linear Regression



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- Effect of treatment on the outcome given the treatment does not correlate with observed and unobserved control variables
- Here: Effect of university linkages on R&D expenditure when these linkages do not correlate with e.g. size or sector or whatever you might think of could effect R&D expenditure, too.
- Problem 1:
Effect is biased in the multiple regression
- Problem 2:
Neglecting critical variables does not help. Effect is biased in the simple regression, too. Everything left out or forgotten is in the error term

Difference-in-Difference Regression



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- Is the change in outcome due to changes in the control or due to the treatment
- Here: Change in R&D expenditure due to changes in size, exporting behaviour etc. or due to installing a university link
- Solution:
Regress change in R&D expenditure on change in other variables and on treatment.

Difference in Differences



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



Propensity Score



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- Conditional probability of receiving a treatment given pre-treatment characteristics
- Here:
Probability that a firm with given characteristics – like size, sector, ownership, etc. – is having a link with a university

What could a propensity score look like?

- Treated firms ($D=1$), e.g. firms with university linkages:
0.45, 0.48, 0.52, ..., 0.77, 0.85, 0.98
- Non-Treated firms ($D=0$), e.g. firms without university linkages:
0.28, 0.34, 0.46, ..., 0.65, 0.71, 0.78
- Common Support: 0.45 – 0.78
- Reason: Difficult to find a comparable partner in the other group.

What Does Balancing Mean?



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



- Given the propensity score
 - given the way we have used the control variables to estimate the probability of being treated –
 - there is no correlation between treatment and control variables
- All the information on getting treatment is just in the propensity score
- All the information on the outcome of being treated is in the coefficient of the propensity score

Testing Balancing



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



What the software does:

- Sort observations by propensity score.
- Split the observations in blocks by propensity score.
- Test whether propensity score has the same mean for treated and untreated within a block.
- If not: Split the block and do it again.
- Stop the procedure when equality is not rejected.

What you have to do:

- If equality is always rejected re-estimate the propensity score additionally using squares and cross-products of variables.
- Pray!

Testing Balancing Reloaded



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT



What the software does:

- Given the blocks found above
- For each control variable, test whether it has the same mean for treated and untreated within a block.
- If not: You might run into problems.

What you have to do:

- Have you ever said “I love you” to your dataset?

What is the nearest neighbour?

- For each treated firm (one with university link) find a non-treated firm for which the propensity score is as equal as possible.

What to do with the neighbour?

- Look at the difference of the outcome (difference of R&D expenditure) of the treated firm to its neighbour
- Calculate the average difference of the outcome of the treated and its non-treated counterpart

Average Treatment Effect on the Treated

Why not nearest neighbour?

- Even the nearest neighbour could be quite far away.

Radius

- Define what you think is a reasonable neighbourhood, i.e. the radius
- Look at the difference of the outcome of the treated firm to each single firm in the radius
- Calculate the average difference of the outcome of the treated and its non-treated counterparts

Average Treatment Effect on the Treated

Is there a way to make it more complicated in order to impress referees of scientific journals?

Kernel (not Colonel)

- Use a weighted average of all controls
- Use weights somehow – so complicated that few people do understand - inverse to the distance (difference in propensity score)
- Look at the difference of the outcome of the treated firm to the weighted average
- Calculate the average difference of the outcome of the treated and its non-treated counterparts

Average Treatment Effect on the Treated

You might feel heavily treated now?!