

Are You Being Tracked? What Can you Do?

Aruna Seneviratne & Suranga Seneviratne+++



Australian Government

NICTA

Department of Broadband, Communications and the Digital Economy

Australian Research Council

NICTA Funding and Supporting Members and Partners





Queensland

Government



Griffith







NICTA in Brief

- Australia's National Centre of Excellence in Information and Communication Technology
- Five Research Labs:
 - ATP: Australian Technology Park, Sydney
 - NRL: UNSW, Sydney
 - CRL: Canberra
 - VRL: Melbourne
 - QRL: Brisbane
- 700 staff including 300 PhD students
- Budget: ~\$90m/y from Fed/State Gov and industry





Motivation



- The personal information collected from the sensors, and use of mobile devices
 - Provision of personalised services to the users
- Personalisation comes at a cost to user's security and privacy





Challenge



- How to safe guard the security and privacy of the users, whilst still providing the full benefits of personalized services
 - 1. Provide information to users to make them informed decisions :utility vs. loss of security/privacy
 - 2. Have tools to detect fraudulent apps
 - 3. Methods of extracting information whilst guaranteeing security and privacy: privacy preserving analytics

Challenge



- How to safe guard the security and privacy of the users, whilst still providing the full benefits of personalized services
 - 1. Provide information to users to make them informed decisions :utility vs. loss of security/privacy
 - 2. Have tools to detect fraudulent apps
 - 3. Methods of extracting information whilst guaranteeing security and privacy: privacy preserving analytics

Today: Users in the "Dark"



Data Sources Apps "Trackers" **GPS** Location **Installed Apps** Google Analytics **Device IDs** INMOBI The Largest Independent Mobile Ad Networl millennialmedia **Bowser History**

App Store

Google play

Example - #1

- It is possible to identify user traits very easily
- A single snapshot of apps installed on a smartphone!
 - Apptronomy
 - Upon installation, lists and uploads the user installed apps to a server
 - Generates a random ID for that installation instance
 - Group of volunteers and users through Amazon Mechanical Turk
 - User traits through a brief questionnaire
 - Crawled two popular social app discovery sites: *Appbrain* and *Appaware*

| | Appbrain Appaware Apptron | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| # of users | 8653 | 841 | 369 |
| # of apps | 85770 | 24254 | 6341 |
| # of installations | 705004 | 94024 | 15710 |
| Average # of apps/user | 81 | 112 | 43 |
| Median # of apps/user | 51 | 75 | 34 |





Example - #1.1

Trained SVM classifiers



 app description as the input and predict whether the given app is relevant to that particular trait

| | Precision | | | Recall | | |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|--------|-----|-----|
| | >0 | >1 | > 2 | >0 | > 1 | > 2 |
| Language | 62% | 86% | 82% | 33% | 25% | 19% |
| Country | | | | | | |
| Top-25 | 97% | 100% | 100% | 17% | 8% | 5% |
| Top-50 | 98% | 96% | 94% | 29% | 12% | 7% |
| Top-75 | 40% | 63% | 68% | 37% | 15% | 9% |
| Religion | 90% | 100% | 100% | 24% | 5% | 3% |
| Is single? | 70% | 100% | 100% | 26% | 10% | 2% |
| Is a parent? | 53% | 78% | 100% | 26% | 10% | 7% |

• Installed apps in smartphones can infer user traits

S. Seneviratne, A. Seneviratne, Mahanti, P. Mohapatra. "Your Apps Are What You Are: User Traits Through Installed Smartphone Apps" ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review CTA18 (3), 55-61, 2015. 8

Example #2



- A few know a lot
 - Identified the top-100 free and paid apps from four countries representing four geographical regions
 - 275 unique free and 234 unique paid apps
 - For all the apps found in users' app downloaded the APK files - 3,605
 - Two analysis tools to identify the embedded trackers and the API calls executed by the trackers
 - Permissions are abstract and may not necessarily represent the full implications

Example #2.1







S. Seneviratne,H. Kolumunna A. Seneviratne,"A Measurement Study on Tracking in Paid Mobile Applications" NICTA Technical Report 2015-8, February, 2015

Single User





NICTA Copyright 2015

Reliable, Efficient and Secure Networked Systems

Android Malware Removed From Google Play Store After Millions of Downloads





What They Know - Mobile

Marketers are tracking smartphone users through "apps" - games and other software on their phones. Some apps collect information including location, unique serialnumber-like identifiers for the phone, and personal details such as age and sex. Apps routinely send the information to marketing companies that use it to compile dossiers on phone users. As part of the What They Know investigative series into data privacy, the Journal analyzed the data collected and shared by 101 popular apps on iPhone and Android phones (including the Journa's own iPhone app). This interactive database shows the behavior of these apps, and describes what each app told users about the information it gathered.

| More views of the data » |
|-----------------------------|
| APPS |
| KIDS |
| THE TOP 50 SITES |
| |

Recent Stories

Basic Idea: Informed Decisions



Rating of Apps





For aggregator *i*, let

 $\Lambda^{T} = (\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \dots \lambda_{p})$, the accuracy vector for user trait *p* and

 $U^{T} = (u_{1} u_{2} \dots u_{p})$, the vector representing users willingness to share trait p

"Privacy level" w.r.t aggregator i,

 $X_i = f(\Lambda^T, U^T)$

Then "Overall Privacy Level"

$$P = g(X_1 \dots X_D)$$

Where D is the number of aggregators and g is the weighted mean function.

Objective: Maximize *P*, subject to

 $A_i \text{ in } \{A_{i,i}; A_{c1,i}; \dots, ; A_{cj,i}\}, i=1:K$ where

- *K* is the number of apps
- $A_{i,i}$ is the original application and $A_{cj,i}$ s are the apps providing a similar function to the original.

Solved using "Steepest Ascent Hill Climbing"

Recommendation of Apps



NICTA

Challenge



- How to safe guard the security and privacy of the users, whilst still providing the full benefits of personalized services
 - 1. Provide information to users to make them informed decisions :utility vs. loss of security/privacy
 - 2. Have tools to detect fraudulent apps
 - 3. Methods of extracting information whilst guaranteeing security and privacy: privacy preserving analytics

Detecting Fraudulent Apps (1)



- State-of-the-art mobile malware detection is only reactive!
 - (based on Known malware DBs, Signature Comparison, User feedback)
- Early detection can reduce further damage
- Challenges
 - Limited amount of data (No user reviews or ratings)
 - Predictions need to be precise (Legitimate apps must not be penalized)
 - Ability to quickly analyze a large number of apps (Fast approval for developers)



Angry Birds

Angry Purrs!

Detecting Fraudulent Apps (2)



- Discover
 - Functionally similar apps
 - Other apps by the same developer
- Metadata such as app name, app description, and app category for all the apps



Detecting Fraudulent Apps (3)



- Identified the reasons for app removal
 - Consulting numerous market reports
 - Examining the policies of the major app markets

| Reason | Description |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Spam | These apps often have characteristics such as un- |
| | related description, keyword misuse, and multiple |
| | instances of the same app. Section 4 presents de- |
| | tails on spam app characteristics. |
| Unofficial | Apps that provide unofficial interfaces to popular |
| content | websites or services (E.g., an app providing an in- |
| | terface to a popular online shopping site without |
| | any official affiliation). |
| Copyrighted | Apps illegally distributing copyrighted content. |
| content | |
| Adult | Apps with explicit sexual content. |
| content | |
| Problematic | Apps with illegal or problematic content. |
| content | E.g., Hate speech and drug related. |
| Android | Apps pretending to be another popular app in the |
| counterfeit | Google Play Store. |
| Other | A counterfeit app, for which the original app |
| $\operatorname{counterfeit}$ | comes from a different source than Google Play |
| | Store (E.g., Apple App Store) |
| Developer | Apps that were removed by the developer. |
| deleted | |
| Developer | Developer's other apps were removed due to vari- |
| banned | ous reasons and Google decides to ban the devel- |
| | oper. Thus all of his apps get removed. |

Detecting Fraudulent Apps (4)



NICIA

- Aggressive classifier
 - ~70% of the removed apps and 55% of the other apps to be spam
- conservative classifier
 - 6% to12% of the removed apps 2.7% of the other apps a

S. Seneviratne, A. Seneviratne, M.A. Kaafar, A. Mahanti, P. Mohapatra. "Early Detection of Spam Mobile Apps". To appear in **ACM WWW'15**.

Conclusions



- With personal information collected from the sensors, and use of mobile devices its obvious that more protection is needed
- We believe that this is bets done with the user in the centre of the decision making process
- Eneregy "Star Rating" scheme for electrical good
- Privmetrics provides a framework for developing such a rating system which can be extended to provide other services as well



